

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Nariie Kaneko 2001_0571A 1298 05/08/2001 09/849,968 11/06/2002 513 7590 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. **EXAMINER** 2033 K STREET N. W. PATTERSON, MARIE D **SUITE 800** WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 3728 DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| \$ M | |
|---------|--------|
| | \neg |

Advisory Action

<u>.</u>

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | , - |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| 09/849,968 | KANEKO, NARIIE | |
| Examin r | Art Unit | |
| Marie Patterson | 3728 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | |
|--|---|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) |] |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS 706.07(f). | date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amour 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the fearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or se | arch (see NOTE below); |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal b issues for appeal; and/or | y materially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number NOTE: | er of finally rejected claims. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | in a separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because: See attached sheet. | n considered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SO raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | LELY to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entere explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ o | disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N | o(s) |
| 10. Other: | moles |
| | Marie Patterson |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728 |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the 112 second paragraph rejections, the claim language is confusing and contradictory. Applicants' arguments of the claim language intended to be open to cover a pair of shoes in which one shoe has additional bags appears to add to confusion as to what exact limitations applicant intends to encompass with the language of the claims.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Johnson and the limitation of the sealed bag being "only at the outside portion", the sealed bag (20A) is located "only at the outside portion" as shown in figure 6, i.e. bag 20A does not extend into other portions of the shoe sole. Also, in reference to the limitation "...having no inflatable sealed bag...", it is noted that it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the modified shoe of Johnson, the remaining elements, i.e. the bag 20A would perform the same function after the other bags are omitted as it did before. Bag 20A would provide a variable wedge effect (see column 4 lines 25-26) and would cushion the foot just as it did before the other bags are omitted.

In response to applicants' argument that by modifying Johnson as suggested in the rejection the shoe of Johnson would be inoperable, this is not persuasive because the

Art Unit: 3728

Page 3

shoe would still perform the intended function of providing a "means of compensating for over-pronation" (column 2 line3) and the remaining bladder would still provide a means of reducing the shock imparted to the foot in the outside portion.

1. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner of Art Unit at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries only concerning the **merits** of the examination should be directed to **Marie** Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Valerie Douglas at (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728